# No-Code Governance: Dos and Donts

May 4th

### No-Code is Taking Markets by Storm



### Freedom at the workplace: Balance of Autonomy and Accountability

- Ability to determine personal performance goals based on strategy and values
- 2. Freedom to influence organization's decisions
- 3. The ability to decide how to solve a task or a problem
- 4. Ownership of your function and outcomes
- 5. The ability to challenge a status quo



### Freedom at the workplace: Balance of Autonomy and Accountability

- Ability to determine personal performance goals based on strategy and values
- 2. Freedom to influence organization's decisions
- 3. The ability to decide how to solve a task or a problem
- 4. Ownership of your function and outcomes
- 5. The ability to challenge a status quo



In the meantime, 80% of security and business leaders now say that their organizations have more exposure to cyber threats today due to remote working

 $\odot$ 

 $\odot$ 



### STRATEGIC PRODUCT DEVELOPMENT DIRECTIONS

Strong Governance and Lack of Democratization = Lack of innovation, agility and speed

Strong Democratization and Lack of Governance = Automation Chaos Strong Democratization and Strong Governance = Freedom to own your automation

### **RISK OF "SHADOW" IT**

One of the common myths is that <u>no-code</u> should only be viewed as out-of-control "shadow IT" and should be stopped. Instead, the first step in your action plan should be to embrace the opportunity that no-code can provide and see this as an opportunity to get ahead of and proactive engage the business.

Don't fight the appetite for no-code to drive new innovation; instead, look to standardize its use. One of the big advantages of no-code platforms is that they can provide a centralized, consistent infrastructure for business teams to build apps.

### What can possibly go wrong?

#### 1. Data leaks

2. Increased vulnerability of your organization

3. Unauthorized access for employees to sensitive information

4. Compliance issues and penalties

5. Significant interference of operations

6. Lack of trust and support from leadership and IT

# NO-CODE APPLICATION MATRIX

| Types                    | Complexity levels  | Simple | Medium | Advanced           |
|--------------------------|--|--------|--------|--------------------|
| Business<br>complexity   | Process scope/complexity   | DIY    | СоЕ    | СоЕ                |
|                          | Business critical use case   |        |        |                    |
|                          | Cross-departamental usage  |        |        |                    |
|                          | <ul> <li>Regional requirements (taxation, way of doing business)</li> </ul>  |        |        |                    |
|                          | Language requirements  |        |        |                    |
| Governance<br>complexity | Access rights complexity   | DIY    | СоЕ    | СоЕ                |
|                          | <ul> <li>Compliance with external regulations (HIPPAA, GDPR, etc)</li> </ul> |        |        |                    |
|                          | <ul> <li>Information security requirements</li> </ul>                        |        |        |                    |
|                          | <ul> <li>Compliance with internal regulations</li> </ul>                     |        |        |                    |
| Technical<br>complexity  | Code development requirements  |        | СоЕ    | <b>Fusion team</b> |
|                          | <ul> <li>Complexity of integrations</li> </ul>                               | DIV    |        |                    |
|                          | Number of users and transactions   | DIY    |        |                    |
|                          | <ul> <li>UI/UX complexity</li> </ul>   |        |        |                    |

#### Types of governance checks

External compliance checklists to assess compliance with external laws, guidelines or regulations imposed by external governments, industries and organizations. Internal compliance checklists imposed by internal audit teams or committees to enforce adherence to rules, regulations and practices as defined by internal policies and access controls.

Security checklists to protect your corporate information resources from external or internal attacks.

Data governance checks to assess how sensitive corporate data is managed and secured.

### Some examples

#### External compliance:

- GDPR
- HIPAA
- PCI DSS,
- etc

Internal compliance:

- HR regulations
- Managerial controls
- etc.

- Security checklists:
- OWASP Top 10

#### Data governance:

- access to sensitive data,
- user permissions
- data access for external parties
- etc.



It boasts a comprehensive list of check procedures that cover all aspects of governance and compliance.

## 5 Things You Don't want to Do

- 1. Apply the "everyone is a developer" principle literally
- 2. Democratize the no-code development process without defying guardrails and governance practices
- 3. Establish very strict governance rules without differentiations based on application complexity
- 4. Manage all your governance checks manually
- 5. Plan only annual application audits



# 7 Things You Want to Do

- 1. Apply governance based on the Application Matrix
- 2. Set skills requirements and train your no-code team
- 3. Establish roles to manage the governance process (no-code architect and approvers)
- 4. Always use multiple environments
- 5. Key your eye on user permissions and integrations
- 6. Automate governance checks
- 7. Establish ongoing automated audits